



Office of the Vice President  
and Chief Financial Officer  
Procurement Services  
<https://procurement.ufl.edu/>

971 Elmore Drive  
PO Box 115250  
Gainesville, FL 32611-5250  
(352) 392-1331 Fax 352-392-8837

March 1st, 2022

**ADDENDUM #1** to the University of Florida ITB22NH-126 Small Plot Combine to be opened on **March 8<sup>th</sup>, 2022 3:00 PM** at the University of Florida, Elmore Hall Conference Room, Radio Road, Gainesville, Florida.

This addendum shall be considered part of the Contract Documents for the above mentioned **ITB22NH-126** as though it had been issued at the same time and incorporated integrally therewith. Where provisions of the following supplementary data differ from those of the original document, this addendum shall govern and take precedence. All other terms, conditions, and regulations will apply.

**This addendum consists of:**

1. Responses to technical questions and inquires submitted prior to 5:00pm, February 24<sup>th</sup>, 2022.
2. Equivalentents submitted prior to 5:00pm, February 24<sup>th</sup>, 2022

**The University of Florida has not approved any equivalent systems**

Sincerely,

Nicola Heredia, Director  
Procurement Services

**Please acknowledge receipt of Addendum #1 by signing below and returning this addendum with your proposal. Failure to include addendum with your proposal may result in rejection.**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Company Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Email Address

\_\_\_\_\_  
Company Address

\_\_\_\_\_  
City/State/Zip

**Q1. If we can demonstrate that the hydraulic brake is safer than a manual option – would it be considered?**

A1. Yes. The idea behind the manual brake was to ensure the machine would remain stopped in case of any hydraulic failure. If there is a way to ensure braking with a hydraulic option, that would be acceptable.

**Q2. If we can remove the whole bottom of the grain tank for a quick cleanout – would it be considered?**

A2. Yes/No. We would want more information regarding the construction or modifications made to for it to be considered a “quick” cleanout. Any alternate specification would need to have been provided as an equivalent by February 24<sup>th</sup>.

**Q3. If we have a high-powered air-turbine delivering the grain – would it be considered?**

A3. No. We think that the machine will be best suited with a continuous loop paddle chain delivery system for the crops we plan on harvesting.

**Q4. If we would offer 6 rasp bars but with large grinding surface – would it be considered?**

A4. No. We specified a hi-performance threshing cylinder with at least 7 rasp bars because we feel as though this would provide the best threshing opportunity for the crops we plan on harvesting.

**Q5. If the sieves need to have 21 square feet of cleaning area, how large does the combine straw walker surface need to be?**

A5. The size of the combine straw walker surface is not a design decision that can be made by the University of Florida.